

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/520,798	03/08/2000	Richard Rothkopf	2470-104A	2139
6449 7:	590 03/10/2006		EXAM	INER
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/520,798	ROTHKOPF, RICHARD			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not firme may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	entember 2005				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-21 and 23-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-21 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r ·				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		- - - - -			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· ·			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.			
		,				
Attachmen	(a)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) [] Inform Paper	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the papers filed 9/22/05.

Response to Arguments

Applicant has submitted a 131 declaration in order to swear behind the earliest benefit date (1/21/2000) of Adams et al (US 2003/0083943). § 1.131(b) states:

The **showing of facts** shall be such, in character and weight, as to establish reduction to practice prior to the effective date of the reference, or conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the reference coupled with due diligence from prior to said date to a subsequent reduction to practice or to the filing of the application. Original exhibits of drawings or records, or photocopies thereof, must accompany and form part of the affidavit or declaration or their absence must be satisfactorily explained.

Applicant has presented evidence of conception prior to the date in question (1/21/2000). Applicant filed the application on 3/8/2000. Applicant has also alleged that:

"during the period prior to January 21, 2000 and until the patent application was filed with the Patent Office on March 8, 2000, I exercised reasonable diligence in assisting with the preparation and finalization of the patent application for filing in the US Patent and Trademark Office. For example, I carefully reviewed and provided comments on the draft patent application to Rick Fernandez."

However, this allegation of "during the period...I exercised reasonable diligence...I reviewed and provided comments" is without any supporting evidence.

MPEP 2138.06 states:

Art Unit: 3622

An applicant must account for the entire period during which diligence is required. *Gould v. Schawlow*, 363 F.2d 908, 919, 150 USPQ 634, 643 (CCPA 1966) (Merely stating that there were no weeks or months that the invention was not worked on is not enough.); *In re Harry*, 333 F.2d 920, 923, 142 USPQ 164, 166 (CCPA 1964) (statement that the subject matter "was diligently reduced to practice" is not a showing but a mere pleading). A 2-day period lacking activity has been held to be fatal. *In re Mulder*, 716 F.2d 1542, 1545, 219 USPQ 189, 193 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (37 CFR 1.131 issue);

At this point, the time period from prior to 1/21/2000 to 3/8/2000 has not been sufficiently demonstrated to have elapsed with due diligence. The declaration filed 9/22/05 is thereby not persuasive.

Art Rejections

The basis for the Office Action mailed 3/31/2005 rejecting the pending claims is being repeated herein:

Page 3

Art Unit: 3622



3. Claims 1-3, 7-12, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Adams et al</u> (US2003/0083943).

Claims 1 and 10: <u>Adams</u> discloses a method and apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site, comprising:

- a. storing a customer identifier for each visitor to the site (page 8, paragraph0062 and pages 9-10, paragraph 0075);
- b. storing information pertaining to the number of visits to the site by the visitor identified by the customer identifier (page 8, paragraph 0062 and pages 9-10, paragraph 0075);
- c. storing award rules (criteria) for crediting awards to visitors of the site (page 5, paragraph 0049 and page 8, paragraph 0062);
- d. granting an award to a visitor identified by the customer identifier based on the visitor's compliance with the stored award rules (page 8, paragraphs 0062 and 0064).

Claim 2: Adams discloses an apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claim 1 above, and further discloses the award criteria comprising the number of previous visits to the site by that visitor (page 6, paragraph 0054 and page 8, paragraphs 0065-0066).

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 3: Adams discloses an apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claim 1 above, and further discloses the award criteria comprising the length of time since the previous visit to the site by the visitor (page 8, paragraphs 0065-0066)

Claims 7, 11, and 12: Adams discloses a method and apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claims 2 and 10 above, and further discloses increasing (or varying) the award value with each successive visit to the sire by the visitor (page 5, paragraph 0049 and page 8, paragraphs 0065-0066).

Claims 8 and 16: Adams discloses a method and apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claims 1 and 10 above, and further discloses crediting the promotional award (award points) to a purchase price of a purchase by the visitor (page 6, paragraph 0053).

Claims 9 and 18: Adams discloses a method and apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claims 1 and 10 above, and further discloses the connecting to the electronic commerce site through the Internet (page 8, paragraph 0062).

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 17: <u>Adams</u> discloses a method for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claim 10 above, and further discloses the visitor selecting the promotional award (page 8, paragraph 0067).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6, 13, 15, 19, 20, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Adams et al</u> (US 2003/0083943) in view of <u>Steinman et al</u> (US 2003/0105663).

Claims 4, 5, 13, 15, and 19: Adams discloses a method and apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claims 1 and 10 above and further discloses accumulating a total value of awards (page 5, paragraph 0047), but does not explicitly disclose that the current award is determined based on the cumulative value of the previous awards nor that an award limit is set. However, Steinman discloses a similar apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site in which the value of the award is determined by the length of time since the previous award or based on the total accumulative value of previous awards and a limit is set for the amount of awards one visitor may be granted during a predetermined time period (e.g. Steinman discloses that the amount of the

Art Unit: 3622

award may be decreased to the difference between the current award total and the award limit for that time period; e.g. 100 point daily limit)(page 3, paragraph 0031). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to base the value of the current award in <u>Adams</u> on the total accumulated value of previous awards. One would have been motivated to use such a criteria in <u>Adams</u> in order to limit the financial liability of the award issuer. Without such a cap or limit on the amount of awards a visitor may accrue, the award issuer could accumulate millions of dollars in liabilities when a great number of visitors "run up" a large number of awards within a short amount of time.

Claim 6: Adams and Steinman discloses an apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claim 5 above, and Steinman discloses setting an award limit. Adams further discloses the accumulate value of the awards are reduced when the visitor makes a purchase at the site using the award points (page 6, paragraph 0055). While it is not explicitly disclosed that the award limit is set back to zero, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that if the visitor redeemed all of his accumulated award points, the award limit would be reset to zero upon the updating of the accumulated awards file. One would have been motivated to reset the award limit in order to allow the visitor to accumulate more awards, thus enticing the visitor to visit the electronic commerce site again in the future.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/520,798

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 20 and 23: <u>Adams</u> and <u>Steinman</u> disclose a method and apparatus for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claims 2 and 10 above, and <u>Adams</u> further discloses increasing (or varying) the award value with each successive visit to the sire by the visitor (page 5, paragraph 0049 and page 8, paragraphs 0065-0066).

Claim 24: <u>Adams</u> and <u>Steinman</u> disclose a method for offering a promotional award to a visitor of an electronic commerce site as in Claim 10 above, and <u>Adams</u> further discloses the visitor selecting the promotional award (page 8, paragraph 0067).

Art Unit: 3622

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 571-272-6716. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8a-5:30p, (off on alternate Fridays).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey D. Carlson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

jdc